

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,184	12/07/2001	L. Michael Maritzen	Sony-00600	6365	
7590 09/09/2004			EXAM	INER	
Valley Oak Law			HEWITT II, CALVIN L		
5655 Silver Cre San Jose, CA	ek Valley Road, #106 95138		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3621		
.					
			DATE MAILED 00/00/200	DATE MAIL ED. 00/00/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	·				
	10/017,184	MARITZEN ET AL.	SX				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
:	Calvin L Hewitt II	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 D</u>	ecember 2001.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ This	·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-7-01, 3-27-02.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-37 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1, 15, 23, 31, and 36-38 do not fall within the technological arts because no form of technology is disclosed or claimed. Although claim 1, for example, recites "modules", "locally managing account functions" and storing data the claim does not use a computer to produce a useful concrete and tangible result. Further, claims 1, 15, 23, 31 and 36-38 are general enough to read on an accordion folder with tabs or a filing cabinet. Hence, the claimed invention does not promote the progress of science and the useful arts.

Claims 2-14, 16-22, 24-30 and 32-35 are also rejected as they depend from claims 1, 15, 23, or 31.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,184

Art Unit: 3621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-8, 13, and 15-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Barnes et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,970,475.

As per claims 1-8, 13, and 15-38, Barnes et al. teach a transaction device comprising:

- an account management module for locally managing account functions
 and a storage device connected to said module for locally storing account
 data (e.g. access data) from the account module (figures 2, 9, 10, and 1321; column 6, lines 32-53; column 7, lines 1-8; column/line 11/225-12/19)
- an account management module for granting and enforcing access rights
 for viewing content (figures 9, 10,13 and 16; column 16, lines 33-49)
- an account management module that grants and enforces spending rights,
 enforces a set of rules for modification of an account parameter (figures 9,

Application/Control Number: 10/017,184

Art Unit: 3621

10, 13, 18 and 19; column 9, lines 29-50; column 16, lines 33-49; column 18, lines 35-67; column/line 22/46-23/3; column/line 23/50-24/15)

Page 4

- a set of rules that includes a hierarchy of accounts (admin) and subaccounts (user) (figures 9, 10, 13 and 17-19)
- account parameters that include a level of authorization for content such as a spending level (figures 9, 10, 13, 16, 18 and 19; column 6, lines 12-33; column 16, lines 33-49; column 18, lines 35-67; column/line 22/46-23/3; column/line 23/50-24/15)
- an authentication module connected to the account management module for locally authenticating an identity of a user of the transaction device (figure 6A; column 18, lines 35-43)
- selecting a parameter (category designation, level status spending limit)
 (e.g. suppliers, spending limits) to control access to content from a local device, storing the parameter on the local device and comparing a content request to the parameter on the local device (figures 9-16 and 18; column 6, lines 12-33)
- checking an identification of a user of the local device (figure 6A and 9;
 column 18, lines 35-43)
- requesting content associated with a content request from a remote device or transaction privacy clearinghouse (e.g. website, financial

Art Unit: 3621

7.

clearinghouse) in response to said request (figures 1-6B and 11; column 6, lines 11-26 and 45-52; column 23, lines 48-67)

 managing a plurality of accounts by selecting a single spending limit, or access control, for a plurality of accounts (figure 19)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,970,475.

As per claims 9-11, Barnes et al. teach a procurement system, which provides users with different functionalities based on a user's roles within an organization (column 9, lines 30-55; column 16, lines 33-48). Further, users are prevented from unauthorized access to data by requiring users to authenticated themselves (figures 9, 10 and 13; column 16, lines 34-38; column 18, lines 35-43). However, Barnes et al. do not explicitly recite biometric authentication.

Brown et al. teach a system for securing access to a network by requiring users to provide biometric (e.g. fingerprint, iris scan, voice, etc.) as well as password

authentication (figure 3; column 2, lines 11-27; column 3, lines 51-67; column 5, lines 3-13.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Barnes et al. and Brown et al. in order to improve security.

8. Claims 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,970,475 in view of Boies et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,006,200.

As per claim 14 Barnes et al. teach a procurement system (abstract; figures 2, 3, and 5). However, Barnes et al. do not specifically recite customer anonymity. Boies et al. teach a method for providing customers with anonymity and privacy when making purchases over the internet (abstract). Specifically, Boies et al. use a privacy clearinghouse "connected" to an account management module (figure 2A) that is configured to complete a financial transaction with a vendor anonymously. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to combine the teachings of Barnes et al. and Boies et al. in order to protect companies from unsolicited advertisements ('200, column 2, lines 1-10) and more efficiently procure items electronically by allowing users to use a single anonymous transaction identifier for transactions ('200, column 1, lines 13-51).

Application/Control Number: 10/017,184 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

9 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Gardner et al. teach an electronic requisition system
- Ansell et al. teach copy security for portable music players
- Kupka et al. disclose pre-paid storage media for downloading content
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Calvin Loyd Hewitt II whose telephone number is (703) 308-8057. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

c/o Technology Center 2100

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 3621

(703) 305-7687 (for formal communications intended for entry and after-final communications),

or:

(703) 746-5532 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, 7th Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

September 5, 2004